

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/707,055	CARLSSON, SVEN-AKE	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Roger L Pang	3681	

All Participants:

(1) Roger L Pang.

(2) Tracy Druce.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7 January 2005
Time: _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
Claims discussed:

12-13

Prior art documents discussed:
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
an examiner's amendment was agreed upon which would shorten the abstrat and correct a possible 35 USC 112, second paragraph problem. Applicant also faxed in the copy of the PCT for the examiner to review.
Part III.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

ROGER PANG
PRIMARY EXAMINER
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(_____
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)